# ANALYSING THE IMPACT OF HYBRID WORK MODES: BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES

## Dr Rovika Prem,

Associate Professor, Department of Commerce, Sri Aurobindo College (Eve), University of Delhi

### ABSTRACT

A new age of workplace flexibility and adaptation has been ushered in by the hybrid work paradigm, which has genuinely changed the operational dynamics of businesses around the globe. The evolution of hybrid work paradigms is examined in this paper. Along with evaluating the benefits and drawbacks of hybrid work schedules, it also looks at how this style of work impacts employees' engagement, performance, and overall wellness. It emphasizes topics including the ability to work remotely, striking a healthy work-life balance, and the potential for remote workers to experience feelings of isolation and disconnection. In addition, we discuss possible issues like burnout and inclusion that could occur in these teams, highlighting the necessity for businesses to properly manage and assist their staff in order to guarantee their productivity and well-being. In the end, this study seeks to offer a clear manual for businesses looking to develop successful hybrid work schedules that complement their objectives and core beliefs in an evolving workplace.

**Keywords:** *Hybrid Mode of work, job satisfaction, employee performance, work life balance and task control.* 

### **INTRODUCTION**

The way people work has changed significantly in recent years. Companies that once relied mostly on office-based work had to quickly adapt to remote setups (Barath, & Schmidt 2022). As workplaces began to reopen, a new style of working — combining both home and office work — started gaining popularity (Vyas, 2022). This approach, often called hybrid work, is now shaping the future of many organizations. (Garg, 2022).

This paper focuses on understanding how hybrid work mode affects employee performance. We aim to explore whether balancing time between the office and home helps employees work better, feel more satisfied, and maintain a healthier worklife balance. According to Social Exchange Theory, introduced by Blau (1964), human relationships are fundamentally based on reciprocal exchanges, in which people try to maximize benefits and minimize costs. When applied to the workplace, this theory implies that the interactions between employees and their organization are not purely transactional but are influenced by perceived fairness, trust, and mutual support (Madden, et al., 2015). When employees believe that their company genuinely values their contributions, offers them with adequate resources, recognition, and emotional support, they are more inclined to reciprocate through enhanced job performance, increased organizational commitment, and cooperative behavior.

This mutual reinforcement fosters a positive work environment, especially where close-knit teams and collaborative efforts are essential for success. Moreover, employees who perceive a high degree of corporate support tend to exhibit reduced turnover intentions, greater job satisfaction, and stronger engagement. In hybrid work systems, where physical interactions are limited, maintaining this sense of reciprocity and organizational support becomes even more crucial. Trust, transparency, and consistent communication are key to sustaining a healthy exchange dynamic between employees and management, thereby reinforcing the long-term benefits of the hybrid structure.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

The hybrid mode of work, a blend of remote and inoffice work, has rapidly gained prominence, especially in the post-pandemic business landscape. The literature reviewed in this study contextualizes the emergence, relevance, and impact of hybrid work setups in startups—an environment marked by flexibility, innovation, and resource constraints.

Several studies highlight that the shift to hybrid work was catalysed by the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly in the developing nations, which prompted organizations to adopt work remotely for their continuity. Authors such as Bick, Blandin, and Mertens (2020) in their research highlight how hybrid models became a strategic necessity, helping businesses retain productivity while offering flexibility. This flexibility is crucial in startup environments, where employees often juggle multiple roles and work extended hours.

The literature also delves into the psychological and operational effects of hybrid work. According to (Allen, et al., 2015), remote work enhances autonomy and lowers commuting stress, it may also result in communication breakdowns and feelings of isolation. These results are especially pertinent to startups, wherein collaboration and real-time feedback are vital.

Moreover, organizational studies referenced in the paper suggest that a hybrid model can positively impact job satisfaction and performance if managed correctly. Tools that enable virtual collaboration (e.g., Slack, Zoom, Google Meet) and performance-tracking mechanisms are essential enablers (Waizenegger et al., 2020).

In addition, researchers such as Felstead & Henseke (2017) emphasize the role of leadership in hybrid environments. Their findings indicate that transformational leadership practices that promote

trust, clarity, and shared goals are essential to foster a sense of unity among dispersed teams. This is particularly important in startups where team morale and motivation are pivotal to overall job execution and growth.

Finally, the literature reveals that while hybrid work mode offers flexibility, it can challenge traditional performance evaluation systems. Studies by Bailey & Kurland (2002) suggest that in hybrid settings, reliance on outcomes rather than physical presence becomes critical. For startups, this necessitates a shift from micromanagement to output-based evaluation, demanding a stronger focus on KPIs and transparent communication channels to maintain efficiency and accountability (Balasubramaniyam, 2024).

# HYBRID WORK APPROACH: A HOLISTIC SOLUTION

A hybrid work model may offer the best of both worlds. It provides flexibility while preserving the benefits of interpersonal interaction. Certain industries and roles are particularly suited to a hybrid approach.

**Roles requiring ideation and strategy development:** Jobs that rely heavily on brainstorming, ideation, and creative thinking benefit from physical co-location and dynamic team interactions.

**Project execution and cross-functional collaboration:** Execution-heavy roles often demand real-time feedback and collaboration, which is easier to achieve in person.

**Data-driven and independent work:** On the other hand, tasks such as data mining, analysis, and documentation are typically more solitary in nature and can be performed effectively in a remote setup.

### **TYPES OF HYBRID WORK MODEL**

Since every organization and industry has different needs, there is no one-size-fits-all method for

handling hybrid work. Here are a few examples of common hybrid work models:

Office-centric hybrid work: In this arrangement, the employees work mostly from the office, although they work from home on certain days of the week or as necessary. People are in the office on a daily basis, which fosters a sense of belonging and deeper team ties. It's particularly beneficial for new personnel because face-to-face engagement and training facilitate learning and connection. The recruiting alternatives may be restricted by this paradigm, though, as it might not include qualified applicants who are unable to relocate closer to the workplace. This problem can be solved by more adaptable hybrid models.

**Remote-first hybrid model:** Under this model, businesses recruit people regardless of where they live, and employees mostly work from home. Companies must give workers the proper tools and equipment so they can perform their tasks well in order for this to operate. By eliminating the need for office space, this arrangement can save money, but it may also cause employees to feel less engaged with the business and find it difficult to develop a healthy team culture in the absence of frequent inperson interactions.

Flexible hybrid work: This model allows workers the freedom to choose whether to work remotely and when in the office. They can come in any day of the week as the office is open, but most will probably attend team meetings and work from home on focused tasks. Employees have more influence over their schedules using this method, which increases productivity and job happiness while demonstrating employers' trust. However, managing it can be challenging, such as scheduling team meetings or making sure managers give each employee equal time.

**Fixed hybrid model:** A fixed hybrid work paradigm establishes explicit guidelines for both office and remote work, striking a balance between flexibility and the necessity of face-to-face interactions. Employees could be expected to work remotely on some days and in the office on others, such as

Mondays and Tuesdays. Team-specific schedule adjustments are made by certain organizations; for example, autonomous teams may work remotely more frequently whereas collaborative teams might be in the office more often. Different groups arriving on different days might also help manage office space through staggered scheduling. This method promotes teamwork and enhances resource planning, but if everyone must be on site at the same time, cost reductions may be limited. To discover the optimal option, hybrid schedules must be customized to an organization's demands.

# POSITIVE IMPACTS OF HYBRID WORK

Hybrid work offers following advantages:

a. Enhanced job satisfaction and retention: Increased job satisfaction and decreased turnover rates have been associated with hybrid work arrangements. The hybrid model significantly increased retention rates, and Stanford University research indicated that workers who worked twice a week from home were equally productive and likely to be promoted as their peers who worked entirely in offices. Furthermore, research by King's College London's Global Institute for Women's Leadership found that UK employees strongly preferred to continue having flexible work schedules after the epidemic. The percentage of workers who will adhere to full-time office requirements dropped from 54% in early 2022 to just 42%. Since 2022, the percentage has doubled from 5% to 10%, indicating that more people are likely to leave or look for other employment if they are compelled to work in office full-time.

**b. Improved work-life balance:** Hybrid work models give employees the flexibility they need to meet their personal and professional lives. Because it reduces stress and burnout, workers who attain this balance are healthier and more engaged. A survey found that 75% of UK workers said they felt less anxious and exhausted after working from home for a portion of the week, proving that hybrid working

enhances worker satisfaction, well-being, and output.

**c.** Sustained or enhanced productivity: Hybrid work does not always result in lower productivity, despite early worries. According to research, hybrid models can either maintain or even increase production levels when properly managed. For instance, one study found that hybrid employment greatly heightened retention rates without impacting their productivity or professional development.

**d.** Elimination of commuting time: Removing the need to travel to and from work not only saves time but also reduces stress, allowing for more personal or productive use of that time. Time spent commuting emerged as a significant source of dissatisfaction among respondents. This aligns with findings in behavioural economics that highlight commuting as a major contributor to daily stress and decreased well-being.

In a study 'Stress That Doesn't Pay: The Commuting Paradox', economists Alois Stutzer and Bruno S. Frey (Stutzer, A., & Frey, B. S. (2004)) found that individuals with longer commutes consistently report lower life satisfaction—even when compensated by higher income or better housing. Their research revealed that people tend to underestimate the long-term psychological cost of commuting and that no lasting adaptation occurs, meaning the stress of commuting persists over time. The trade-off between better living conditions and longer travel time rarely delivers the happiness people anticipate, making commuting one of the most common yet underestimated drains on wellbeing.

**e.** Increased focus and productivity: People are able to focus better and complete tasks more efficiently while working from home, citing fewer interruptions and a quieter work environment.

**f. Virtual tools bridge physical gaps:** Platforms such as Zoom and Google Meet have significantly closed the communication gap, enabling seamless collaboration even in the absence of physical proximity.

#### **CHALLENGES OF HYBRID WORK**

Hybrid working, however, has the following drawbacks:

a. Communication and collaboration hurdles: Although hybrid employment allows for more freedom, it can also make communication more difficult. The lack of impromptu face-to-face meetings could make it more difficult to collaborate and share ideas. To close this gap, organizations must spend money on effective communication techniques and tools. According to a study, although hybrid work has a beneficial influence on job satisfaction, maintaining productivity still depends on excellent communication.

**b. Risk of employee isolation:** Staff members who work from home could feel alone or cut off from the workplace culture. Organizations must promote inclusivity and make sure remote workers continue to be a part of the team. According to the FLYDESK study, hybrid work increases autonomy but, if well handled, can result in a fractured corporate culture.

c. Management and oversight challenges: It is necessary to abandon conventional management techniques when overseeing a hybrid workforce. Building connections based on trust and concentrating on results rather than hours worked are essential for leaders. According to a study, companies using hybrid models should carefully evaluate elements like cooperation and communication in order to maximize output and worker happiness.

**d.** Social interaction and peer recognition: Human beings are inherently social creatures and tend to thrive in environments that allow regular interaction with others. In a workplace setting, employees often look forward to engaging with peers, subordinates, and superiors. The affirmation and recognition received in person can contribute positively to their sense of achievement and morale, acting as a form of social validation.

e. Opportunity for self-expression through appearance: Dressing up for work can foster a sense

of routine and belonging. Ten out of the 25 respondents mentioned that they enjoy getting dressed for the office, viewing it as a means to express themselves and mentally prepare for the day. This ritual also contributes to overall mood enhancement and engagement at work.

**f. Clarity and depth of communication:** Effective communication extends far beyond spoken words. According to Mehrabian's model, verbal content accounts for only 7% of communication, body language and facial expressions account for 55% and tone of voice accounts for 38% of communication (Mehrabian, A., 2017). Although this model is not universally accepted as definitive, it underscores the significance of nonverbal cues in achieving mutual understanding—something best experienced through face-to-face interactions.

**g. Informal peer learning:** Being in a physical office allows for spontaneous learning opportunities from colleagues. Observational learning, real-time feedback, and impromptu discussions foster an environment of continuous growth that is harder to replicate in a remote setting.

h. **Higher risk of distraction and need for selfdiscipline:** Remote work requires considerable selfdiscipline. The absence of formal boundaries between professional and personal responsibilities can make it challenging to maintain a consistent routine. As one respondent put it, 'there is a reason home was home and office was office'.

## **IMPLICATIONS FOR THE JOB MARKET**

The implications of hybrid working for employment are discussed as below:

**1. Evolution of recruitment strategies:** Hiring procedures need to be reevaluated in light of the growing popularity of hybrid work. These days, employers are looking for applicants who can work independently and have great digital communication abilities. Additionally, the hybrid approach broadens the talent pool, enabling businesses to hire from a wider region. According to a study, the effects of hybrid employment on the labour market include

changing occupations and skill sets, modifying hiring practices, and emphasizing flexibility.

**2. Redefinition of workplace policies:** In order to accommodate hybrid work models, organizations are reviewing their policies around employee engagement, work hours, and performance reviews. To guarantee equity and uniformity throughout the workforce, clear policies and expectations are essential.

A revolutionary approach to work, the hybrid work paradigm offers flexibility and autonomy that can boost productivity and job happiness. It does, however, also present difficulties that businesses need to proactively resolve. Through the implementation of communication technologies, management strategies, and an inclusive culture, organizations can capitalize on the advantages of hybrid work while reducing its disadvantages.

### REFERENCES

- Balasubramaniyam, A. (2024). Role of Product Owners in incremental adoption of new IT operating model.
- Barath, M., & Schmidt, D. A. (2022). Offices after the COVID-19 pandemic and changes in perception of flexible office space. Sustainability, 14(18), 11158.
- Garg, A. (2022). The future of work: A hybrid model. *Anwesh*, *7*(2), 65.
- Madden, L., Mathias, B. D., & Madden, T. M. (2015). In good company: The impact of perceived organizational support and positive relationships at work on turnover intentions. *Management Research Review*, 38(3), 242-263.
- Mehrabian, A. (2017). *Nonverbal communication*. Routledge.
- Stutzer, A., & Frey, B. S. (2004). Stress that Doesn't Pay Off: The Commuting Paradox. *Available at SSRN 408220*.
- Vyas, L. (2022). "New normal" at work in a post-COVID world: work–life balance and labor markets. *Policy and Society*, *41*(1), 155-167.